

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

Un

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO                             |          | FILING DATE      | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/686,117                                 |          | 10/15/2003       | Masahiko Sugaya      | 4041J-000781            | 6778             |
| 27572                                      | 7590     | 02/25/2005       |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| HARNES                                     | S, DICKI | EY & PIERCE, P.I | LEE, JINHEE J        |                         |                  |
| P.O. BOX 828<br>BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303 |          |                  |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  | ,        |                  |                      | 2831                    |                  |
|  |          |                  |                      | DATE MAILED: 02/25/2005 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
|   |   | 10/686,117   | SUGAYA ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |
|   | Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   | Jinhee J. Lee  | 2831  |  |  |  |  |
| Period fo   | The MAILING DATE of this communication apports reply  | pears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address   |  |  |  |  |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any   | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed<br>s will be considered timely.<br>the mailing date of this communication.<br>D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1)[🛛  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 F</u>   | ebruary 2005.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)□  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3)  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| ,—  | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposit  | ion of Claims   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 5)□<br>6)⊠<br>7)□   | <ul> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-7,10 and 11 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1,2,8 and 9 is/are rejected.</li> </ul>   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicat  | ion Papers  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9)[   | The specification is objected to by the Examine   | er.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| •   | 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|   | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|   | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 11)   | 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority (  | under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachmen   | • •   | 🗖 .  | <b>177</b>  |  |  |  |  |
|   | ce of References Cited (PTO-892)<br>ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 4) ∭ Interview Summary<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Da  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3) 🛛 Infor  | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 1003, 0104.   |  | atent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/686,117 Page 2

Art Unit: 2831

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 3-7 and 10-11 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected group, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper dated 2/2/05.

## Claim Objections

2. Claims 2 and 8 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 2 line 5, the phrase "the notch includes" has an error. Examiner suggests, "the plurality of notches include" instead to avoid insufficient antecedent rejection.

Claim 8 line 2-3, the phrase "for working" has an error. Examiner suggests "to work" instead to correct the grammatical error.

Claim 8 line 4, the phrase "in a case where" has a grammatical error. Examiner suggests "when" instead to correct the grammatical error.

Claim 8 line 5, the phrase "arisen" has a grammatical error. Examiner suggests "risen" instead to correct the grammatical error.

Claim 8 line 6, the phrase "the press-contact portions" has an error. Examiner suggests "the press-contact portion and another press-contact portion" instead to avoid insufficient antecedent rejection.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/686,117

Art Unit: 2831

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-2 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Roberts et al. (3920301).

Re claim 1, Roberts et al. discloses a wiring harness comprising: a pitch ribbon cable (2) having a plurality of bridge portions (unnumbered portion of 6, web between the conductor), wherein each bridge portion of the pitch ribbon cable includes a plurality of notches (cut unnumbered at 30, see figure 1 and column 3 lines 20-23) for defining a position of the wiring harness (see figure 1).

Re claim 2, Roberts et al. discloses a wiring harness further comprising: a connector (8, terminal) having a press-contact portion (12, connecting portion) for engaging the pitch ribbon cable, wherein the plurality of notches include a first notch (unnumbered), which is penetrated by the press-contact portion (12) of the connector (see figures 1-3).

Re claim 8, Roberts et al. discloses a wiring harness, wherein the first notch (unnumbered) is provided by a precut portion (unnumbered at 30) for working as an insulation wall in such a manner that the precut portion arises in a case where the first notch is pressed into the press-contact portion so that the arisen precut portion separates between the press-contact portions (see figures 1-3).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/686,117

Art Unit: 2831

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roberts et al.

Re claim 9, Roberts et al. substantially discloses a wiring harness as set forth in claim 8 with each back of the precuts which faces each other so as to sandwich the press-contact portion (see figures 1-3). Roberts et al. does not explicitly disclose that the precut portion has a pair of horseshoe shape or C-shape precuts. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the precut portion that has a pair of horseshoe shape or C-shape precuts in order to provide a separating layer, since it has been held that more than mere change of form or rearrangement of parts is necessary for patentability. *In re Span-Deck Inc. v. Fab-Con, Inc.* (CA 8, 1982) 215 USPQ 835.

Art Unit: 2831

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jinhee J Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-1977. The examiner can normally be reached on M, T, Th and F at 6:30AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean A Reichard can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext. 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jinhee J Lee Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2831

ازز